

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 8053 K-0587 10/724,355 12/01/2003 Sung Gi Hwang EXAMINER 09/27/2004 FLESHNER & KIM, LLP O MALLEY, KATHRYN S P.O. BOX 221200 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CHANTILLY, VA 20153 3749

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/724,355	HWANG, SUNG GI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kathryn S. O'Malley	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status	•			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 April 2004.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
8)[]	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Oce the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment/a)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/724,355 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,761,049 to Nitschmann et al. in view of US Patent 5,860,300 to Valent.
- 3. Nitschmann et al. teaches a sealing assembly for household appliances such as washing machines comprising door frame 3, door glass 2, frame cover 7 with an entrance hole for receiving frame 3, sealing member comprising head portions 9 and 10 for contact with hooked inner end 8 of cover 7 and extending toward the drum of the washing machine, and tail portions 4, being in contact with frame 3, and 5, being in contact with glass 2 when door 1 is closed. Note column 3, lines 47-63 and Figures 1 and 2. Nitschmann et al. does not detail the manner in which sealing member 10 is positioned in relation to a front support for supporting a drum in the washing machine. Valent teaches a similar washing machine sealing device 12 coupled between a door frame 1 and a drum support 10. Note column 2, lines 47-61 and Figure 4. Since Nitschmann et al. teaches his sealing device being used in a conventional washing machine and Valent teaches that it is conventional to couple a door and a support for a drum with a sealing device, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to couple the door and seal of Nitschmann et al. with the drum support of Valent.

Art Unit: 3749

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kronbetter et al. and Cerruti et al. teach similar sealing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathryn S. O'Malley whose telephone number is (703)308-2844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KSO

Supervisory Patent Examiner